

Article

[mike bski](#)

[@BskiMike22802](#)



THE LEFT IS ANTI-AMERICAN. PART 2.

**Because Apparently One Article Was Not Enough Evidence.
They Provided More.**

If you read Part 1 —

<https://x.com/BskiMike22802/status/2026418701772214481?s=20>

— you already know where I landed. The Gallup data. The 56-point pride gap. The generational collapse. The institutional capture running back to Gramsci and Rudi Dutschke. The 45 Communist Goals read into the Congressional Record in 1963, ticking off like a checklist.

I ended it with: THE LEFT IS ANTI-AMERICAN. PROVE ME WRONG.

They did not prove me wrong. They proved me RIGHT. On national television. In the United States Capitol. In front of everybody.

So. Part 2.

THE STATE OF THE UNION GAVE US THE DEFINING IMAGE OF 2026

I want you to hold two numbers in your head at the same time.

Jack Hughes: 24 years old. Bleeding. Missing front teeth. American flag around his shoulders. "I love the USA. Tonight was all for the country." First men's Olympic hockey gold in 46 years.

Democrats standing when asked whether the government's FIRST DUTY is to protect American citizens: ZERO.

That is the whole article. Everything else is elaboration.

Almost an hour into the longest State of the Union address on record, President Trump did something so simple it should not have even required courage. He asked every member of Congress who agrees that the first duty of American government is to protect American citizens — not illegal aliens — to stand up and show it.

The Republican side of the chamber stood.

The Democratic side sat there. Stared at the floor. Waited it out.

Trump looked at them and said exactly what any rational person watching at home was already thinking: "You should be ashamed of yourself, not standing up."

He was not wrong.

Now, I want to be precise here, because precision matters and I am a science teacher and we do not do vague hypotheses. The question was not a trick. It was not a loaded gotcha. Protecting your own citizens before non-citizens is not a radical proposition. It is literally the foundational reason nation-states exist. It is the premise of every social contract theory from Hobbes to Locke to Madison. It is — to put it in terms that should resonate with anyone who swore an oath to the Constitution — ARTICLE TWO. SECTION ONE. "I do solemnly swear that I will faithfully execute the Office of President of the United States, and will to the best of my Ability, preserve, protect and defend the Constitution of the United States." That Constitution, notably, does not mention the protection of people who entered the country illegally.

And yet. There they sat. Quinn's Law Number Six: FACTS ARE THE ENEMY OF LIBERALISM. The fact that government's primary obligation is to its own citizens is apparently now too controversial for half of Congress to publicly affirm.

But they were not done.

"LOOK. NOBODY STANDS UP. THESE PEOPLE ARE CRAZY."

Trump introduced Sage. Young woman. At the center of a lawsuit about how her school handled her gender identity — more specifically, about a school that made consequential decisions about a child's life and deliberately excluded her parents from the process. Trump called for an immediate ban on states allowing gender transitions for minors without parental consent.

This is not a niche position. This is not a fringe ask. Polling consistently shows 70 to 80 percent of the American public — INCLUDING a majority of self-identified Democrats — supports requiring parental notification and consent before medical procedures on minors. This has been true for years. It is the kind of position where, if you put it on a ballot without party labels attached, it passes in essentially every state in the union.

Democrats sat again.

Trump looked at them one more time. And here is where he said the thing that is going to live forever: "Look. Nobody stands up. These people are crazy, I'm telling you. They're crazy. Amazing. Terrible. Boy, oh boy. We're lucky we have a country, with people like this."

Crazy is a strong word. I generally prefer precision. But when the question is "do you support letting parents know before doctors modify their child's body" — and the answer from a major American political party is to sit in silence and stare at the floor — I am honestly running low on more nuanced alternatives.

In Part 1, I showed you the Gallup numbers. I showed you the generational data. I showed you that 24% of Gen Z Democrats are proud of America, with MORE of them expressing NO pride than pride. I asked how that happens. How an entire generation gets educated OUT of basic gratitude for the freest, most prosperous civilization in human history.

Now you have your answer on camera. In real time. In the People's House.

A party that cannot stand up for the principle that government exists to protect its own citizens, and cannot stand up for the principle that parents deserve a voice in medical decisions made for their children — that party did not lose its patriotism by accident. It was BUILT THIS WAY. Over decades. In exactly the ways Part 1 described.

And the data followed them home.

THE SHUTDOWN SELF-OWN THAT HISTORY WILL NOT FORGET

There is a particular kind of satisfaction reserved for moments when someone accidentally argues against their own position so completely that even their own allies have to say something.

This was one of those moments.

Democrats — and let me be specific, because specificity matters — forced a government shutdown over a demand that ACA COVID subsidies be extended. Their entire argument, the core of their public position, was this: if you do not extend these subsidies, Obamacare premiums will skyrocket and regular Americans will not be able to afford their health insurance.

Let me read that back to you slowly.

They are telling you — publicly, on the record, as a justification for shutting down the federal government — that the Affordable Care Act is not actually affordable without emergency COVID-era government subsidies propping it up.

They passed that law in 2010. The NAME of the law is the AFFORDABLE CARE ACT. They sold it to the American public as the solution to unaffordable healthcare. They told us it would bend the cost curve down. They told us if you liked your doctor, you could keep your doctor. They told us this was going to fix the broken system.

And their 2025-2026 position — the argument they were willing to SHUT THE GOVERNMENT DOWN to make — is that without temporary COVID relief payments, it all falls apart.

Quinn's Law Number One. Say it with me: LIBERALISM ALWAYS GENERATES THE EXACT OPPOSITE OF ITS STATED INTENT.

They said the ACA would make healthcare affordable. Fifteen years later they are threatening a shutdown because the plan is apparently so expensive that removing emergency pandemic subsidies causes it to collapse. They built in a subsidy expiration date themselves, in the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 and the Inflation Reduction Act of 2022, when they owned the majority, and now they want Republicans to own the fallout from that expiration.

The Washington Post editorial board — not exactly a publication you see me citing regularly — actually called this out. They warned Democrats that "progressives embraced the same disastrous mentality" as the Freedom Caucus in past shutdowns. They wrote that Democrats had "walked into a trap" and that "the most likely outcome is that Democrats will come to regret" it. The POST said that. About Democrats.

Here is the part that makes my eye twitch every single time I think about it.

NOT ONE REPUBLICAN voted to end the ACA COVID subsidies. Zero. None. The vote that created the sunset which triggered this entire affordability crisis was DEMOCRATIC legislation, passed with a DEMOCRATIC majority, signed by Biden's Autopen. They wrote the expiration date. They own the premium increase. And they want the American public to blame the people who never voted for it.

This is the political equivalent of backing your own car into a tree, calling the insurance company, and filing a claim against your neighbor.

The CR passed. The government is open. And the Democrats are now on record having admitted, in the loudest possible way, that their signature healthcare achievement requires permanent emergency government life support to function as advertised.

FORTY-PLUS MEMBERS OF CONGRESS CHOSE A MOVEON RALLY OVER THEIR CONSTITUTIONAL JOB

In Part 1, I documented the boycott list. Over 40 elected federal representatives refused to attend the State of the Union address. Senators Ed Markey, Jeff Merkley, Chris Murphy, Patty Murray. Representatives Pramila Jayapal, Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez, Eric Swalwell, Maxine Waters. And more. Dozens more.

Their alternatives: a "People's State of the Union" organized by MoveOn and MeidasTouch. A "State of the Swamp" event at the National Press Club organized by

[DEFIANCE.org](https://www.defiance.org)

. Watching from their offices. Posting on X.

I want to be precise about what the Constitution actually says, because some of these members keep invoking it while apparently not having read it recently.

Article II, Section 3: the President "shall from time to time give to the Congress Information of the State of the Union." CONGRESS. The President is constitutionally directed to give this information to the people boycotting it. The address exists, structurally, AS a communication TO Congress. And Congress's response to the constitutionally-described address is to organize a rally with MoveOn and call it a stand for democracy.

Quinn's Law Number 22: LIBERALS LOVE DEMOCRACY UNLESS IT DOES NOT GO THEIR WAY. And Quinn's Law Number 23: LIBERALS LOVE THE LAW UNLESS THEY DO NOT AGREE WITH IT. The Constitution describes a process. They revere the Constitution. They just prefer the parts that do not require them to sit in the same room as a president they lost to.

I said in Part 1 that the patient has a serious infection. The SOTU gave us the full diagnosis. Lab results back. Not looking great.

MEANWHILE. FIFTY THOUSAND VETERANS ARE STILL WAITING.

I have to say this here because the contrast will not leave me alone.

While Democrats were calculating the political value of shutting down the government over subsidies THEY built to expire, while they were organizing MoveOn rallies instead of doing their constitutional jobs, while they were sitting on their hands when asked whether government should protect its own citizens first —

50,000 combat-wounded veterans are going to work tomorrow knowing that the United States government is legally taking their VA disability compensation out of their military retirement pay. Dollar for dollar. The same government that sent them into combat and brought them home broken is charging them for the recovery.

That legislation is the Major Richard Star Act — now S.Amdt.4056 in the appropriations bill. It costs approximately ten billion dollars over ten years to stop this. Senator Roger Wicker, who CO-SPONSORED this legislation in 2021, has blocked it repeatedly. The same chamber that was willing to shut down the government over an ACA subsidy extension cannot produce a vote on a bill that stops the government from clawing back retirement pay from soldiers who bled for this country.

I do not mention this as a distraction. I mention it because it is the most precise possible measure of what this government has decided to prioritize. ACA subsidies that Democrats scheduled to expire: worth a government shutdown. Retirement pay stolen from combat-wounded veterans: not worth a vote.

That tells you everything about who Washington actually works for.

THE DIAGNOSIS HAS NOT CHANGED. THE EVIDENCE HAS GOTTEN WORSE.

In Part 1, I gave you the numbers. The 56-point gap. The 24% Gen Z Democrat pride figure. The institutional capture documented back to the 1960s. Charles Krauthammer's warning that civilizations fall when their elites lose confidence in the rightness of their cause.

In Part 2, I am giving you the footage.

The same week Jack Hughes bled and smiled and said "tonight was all for the country" — the party that currently holds 40-plus Senate and House seats could not bring itself to stand up and say that the government should protect its own citizens first. Could not stand for parental rights over their children's medical decisions. Chose a MoveOn rally over the chamber of Congress. And inadvertently spent weeks publicly confessing that their signature healthcare law is not actually affordable without permanent government emergency support.

I am a science teacher. I started Part 1 with a hypothesis and a data set. Here is the conclusion.

When a political party cannot affirm that government exists to protect its own people, cannot affirm that parents have a role in their children's medical care, skips the joint session of Congress in favor of partisan activist rallies, and defends its most consequential legislation by admitting it does not work without emergency subsidy — that party is not struggling with messaging. It is not suffering from poor communication strategy. It does not need a better spokesperson or a sharper talking point.

It has lost the plot. Entirely.

The American flag Jack Hughes wrapped around his bleeding shoulders at the 2026 Olympics means something. It meant something to him. It means something to the 92% of Republicans and the 58% of Americans who still say they are proud of this country.

For the people who cannot stand up when asked if government should protect its own citizens — I genuinely, seriously, without a trace of sarcasm, hope they figure out what the flag means before the country they were elected to serve finishes paying the price for their confusion.

But what do I know. I am only a science teacher who was taught that when your hypothesis is contradicted by the evidence, you do not change the evidence. You accept what the data is telling you.

The data is telling us something. Repost if you think more people need to see it.

PART 1:

<https://x.com/BskiMike22802/status/2026418701772214481?s=20>